

Appl. No. 09/913,418
Amdt. Dated September 19, 2005
Reply to Office Action of May 24, 2005

Docket No. CM00565P
Customer No. 22917

Amendments to the Drawings:

The Examiner has objected to the drawings stating that FIG. 1 should be designated by a legend such as – Prior Art. Applicants have amended FIG. 1 to include the legend Prior Art and, thus, request that the Examiner remove the objections to the drawings. Applicants have included with this amendment a replacement sheet corresponding to the amended FIG. 1 that is labeled “Replacment Sheet” in the page header.

Appl. No. 08/913,418
Amdt. Dated September 19, 2005
Reply to Office Action of May 24, 2005

Docket No. CM00565P
Customer No. 22917

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants have amended Claims 1, 8 and 11 and have cancelled Claims 4 and 13. No new matter was added by these amendments. Claims 1-3, 5-12 and 14 remain in this application. Applicants request reconsideration of this application in view of the above amendments and these remarks.

The Examiner has objected to Claims 1 and 8 because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 15 "the currently" should be "a currently"; and in claim 8, line 2 "said type" should be "a type". Applicants have accordingly amended Claims 1 and 8 to address the Examiner's objections and, thus, request that these objections be removed.

The Examiner objected to the drawings, and Applicants have amended FIG. 1 as indicated in the above amendments in accordance with the Examiner's suggestions, thereby, rendering moot the objections to the drawings.

The Examiner has objected to Claims 4-8, 13 and 14 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but states that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants have accordingly amended Claim 1 to include all of the limitations of allowable Claim 4, thereby, rendering Claim 1 in a condition for allowance and likewise rendering Claims 2-3 and 5-10 in a condition for allowance, which depend from and include all of the limitations of amended Claim 1. Applicants have further accordingly amended Claim 11 to include all of the limitations of allowable Claim 13, thereby, rendering Claim 11 in a condition for allowance and likewise rendering Claim 14 in a condition for allowance, which depends from and includes all of the limitations of amended Claim 13.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1, 2 and 9-11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in Figure 1 of the pending application in view of Atarius, et al. (USPN 6,226,336 B1). The Examiner has further rejected Claims 1-3 and 9-12 under 35

7
CM00565P

Appl. No. 09/913,418
Amdt. Dated September 19, 2005
Reply to Office Action of May 24, 2005

Docket No. CM00565P
Customer No. 22917

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in Figure 1 of the pending application in view of Anderson, et al. (US 2001/0055348 A1). Applicants request that these rejections be removed in view of the above amendments to Claim 1 incorporating the limitations of allowable Claim 4 and the amendments to Claim 11 incorporating the limitations of the allowable Claim 13.

For all of these reasons, Applicant submits that Claims 1-3, 5-12 and 14 are in a condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicant.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.


Please charge any fees that may be due to Deposit Account 502117, Motorola, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
1303 E. Algonquin Road
Law Department
Schaumburg, IL 60196
Customer Number: 22917

By:



Valerie M. Davis

Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 50,203

Telephone: 847.576.6733
Fax No.: 847.576.0721